

# CDN Resource Laboratories Ltd.

## Certificate of Analysis

### STANDARD REFERENCE MATERIAL: CDN-CM-51

Gold	0.455 gpt	± 0.052 gpt	30 g FA, instrumental	Certified value
Copper	0.258 %	± 0.009 %	4 Acid digestion / ICP	Certified value
Copper	0.257 %	± 0.008 %	Aqua Regia digestion / ICP	Certified value

Recommended values and the “Between Lab” Two Standard Deviations

**Note 1:** Standards with an RSD of near or less than 5% are certified; RSD’s of between 5% and 15% are Provisional; RSD’s over 15% are Indicated. Provisional and Indicated values cannot be used to monitor accuracy with a high degree of certainty.

**PREPARED BY:** CDN Resource Laboratories Ltd.  
**PREPARATION CERTIFIED BY:** Ali Alizadeh, MSc, MBA, P Geo  
**CERTIFIED BY INDEPENDENT GEOCHEMIST:** Dr. Barry Smee., Ph.D., P. Geo.  
**DATE OF CERTIFICATION:** November 10<sup>th</sup>, 2023

#### **ORIGIN OF MATERIAL:**

Standard CDN-CM-51 was prepared from the ore provided by Hudbay’s Copper Mountain deposit.

Copper Mountain is located in the Intermontane belt of the Canadian Cordillera. It is a copper-gold alkalic porphyry deposit, in which copper-gold mineralization is spatially and genetically associated with multiple pulses of volumetrically restricted, and compositionally varied, alkaline porphyry intrusions. Mineralization in alkalic porphyry deposits is typically hosted by potassic and/or calc-potassic alteration zones, and potassic alteration is typically more extensive spatially than copper-gold mineralization. Alkalic porphyry deposits in general are characterized by a relative scarcity of phyllic (sericitic) alteration, and an almost total lack of clay alteration. Sulphides in alkalic porphyry deposits are typically zoned from a bornite-rich core to bornite + chalcopyrite and then pyrite + chalcopyrite zones, with an outer barren zone where pyrite is the dominant sulphide. Overall, the sulphide content, especially pyrite content, is low compared to calc-alkalic porphyry deposits.

#### **METHOD OF PREPARATION:**

Reject ore material was dried, crushed, pulverized, and then passed through a 270-mesh screen. The +270 material was discarded. The -270 material was mixed for 5 days in a double-cone mixer. Splits were taken and sent to 15 commercial laboratories for round robin assaying.

#### **Assay Procedures:**

**Au:** 30 gr. fire assay pre-concentration, AA or ICP finish.  
**Cu:** 4-acid digestion, AA or ICP finish.  
**Cu:** Aqua Regia digestion, AA or ICP finish.

#### **Statistical Procedures:**

The final limits were calculated after first determining if all data was compatible within a spread normally expected for similar analytical methods done by reputable laboratories. Data from any one laboratory was removed from further calculations when the mean of all analyses from that laboratory failed a t test of the global means of the other laboratories. The means and standard deviations were calculated using all remaining data. Any analysis that fell outside of the mean ±2 standard deviations was removed from the ensuing database. The mean and standard deviations were again calculated using the remaining data. This method is different from that used by Government agencies in that the actual “between-laboratory” standard deviation is used in the calculations. This produces upper and lower limits that reflect actual individual analyses rather than a grouped set of analyses. The limits can therefore be used to monitor accuracy from individual analyses, unlike the Confidence Limits published on other standards.

# CDN Resource Laboratories Ltd.

## Quality Assurance and Quality Control Procedures:

**Screening Test:** After completion of homogenization, three samples, 300g each of homogenized material was randomly collected and was re-screened by a testing sieve. Over size material of this standard and based on CDN's screening test was ~%1.0.

### Homogeneity Test:


15 samples were selected selectively throughout the batch and were sent to an independent assay Laboratories for Homogeneity testing following directions of Annex B, Homogeneity and Stability of proficiency test items, ISO 13528:2015 Guidelines.

Assay results went through a statistical work-up by checking the mean, standard deviation, and %RSD. Based on performed statistical works outlined by ISO 13528; CDN-CM-51 is statistically homogenized (Appendix III).

### LEGAL NOTICE:

This certificate and the reference material described in it have been prepared with due care and attention. However, CDN Resource Laboratories Ltd. nor Barry Smee accept any liability for any decisions or actions taken following the use of the reference material. Our liability is limited solely to the cost of the reference material.

CERTIFIED BY:



---

Ali Alizadeh, MSc, MBA, P.Geo.

CERTIFIED BY INDEPENDENT GEOCHEMIST:



Dr. Barry Smee, PhD, P. Geo.

# CDN Resource Laboratories Ltd.

## APPENDIX I:

Whole rock analysis and 30 element ICP analysis (4-acid digestion) were also conducted on 3 samples.

### APPROXIMATE CHEMICAL COMPOSITION (by whole rock analysis):

Analyte	Percent	Analyte	Percent
SiO <sub>2</sub>	57.8	K <sub>2</sub> O	2.9
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	15.0	TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.5
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	5.6	MnO	0.1
CaO	6.8	LOI	3.6
MgO	3.0	Total S	0.8
Na <sub>2</sub> O	3.7	Total C	0.6

### Participating Laboratories: (not in same order as table of assays)

Activation Labs, Ancaster, Ontario, Canada	ALS Canada, North Vancouver, BC, Canada
Activation Labs, Thunder Bay, Ontario, Canada	Bureau Veritas, Perth, Australia
AGAT, ON, Canada	Bureau Veritas, Vancouver, BC, Canada
ALS, Brisbane, Australia	Certimin S.A., Lima, Peru
ALS, Perth, Australia	MS Analytical, Langley, BC, Canada
ALS Lima, Peru	SGS Lakefield, ON, Canada
ALS, Loughrea, Ireland	SGS, Vancouver, BC, Canada
ALS Johannesburg, South Africa	

# CDN Resource Laboratories Ltd.

## APPENDIX II: Results from round-robin assaying:

Sample	Lab 1	Lab 2	Lab 3	Lab 8	Lab 4	Lab 5	Lab 6	Lab 7	Lab 9	Lab 10	Lab 11	Lab 12	Lab 13	Lab 14	Lab 15
<b>Au (g/t) by Fire Assay, 30g sample size and ICP or AA finish</b>															
CM-51	0.518	0.450	0.429	0.450	0.439	0.418	0.445	0.506	0.461	0.447	0.425	0.429	0.437	0.451	0.46
	0.480	0.396	0.448	0.430	0.411	0.391	0.460	0.464	0.469	0.467	0.548	0.450	0.466	0.444	0.45
	0.484	0.422	0.449	0.443	0.407	0.434	0.482	0.467	0.535	0.429	0.518	0.435	0.461	0.495	0.45
	0.587	0.438	0.419	0.478	0.460	0.476	0.426	0.454	0.470	0.472	0.439	0.418	0.437	0.459	0.44
	0.481	0.428	0.449	0.473	0.432	0.452	0.473	0.469	0.457	0.438	0.385	0.450	0.485	0.473	0.50
	0.525	0.454	0.425	0.469	0.445	0.478	0.459	0.455	0.434	0.472	0.478	0.419	0.452	0.458	0.47
	0.445	0.455	0.456	0.511	0.440	0.446	0.483	0.453	0.506	0.442	0.483	0.421	0.443	0.467	0.47
	0.524	0.380	0.431	0.433	0.412	0.445	0.441	0.474	0.468	0.457	0.466	0.430	0.504	0.434	0.47
	0.482	0.492	0.426	0.495	0.423	0.414	0.529	0.437	0.410	0.426	0.389	0.447	0.493	0.447	0.46
0.461	0.472	0.412	0.475	0.454	0.402	0.476	0.464	0.552	0.454	0.521	0.438	0.510	0.533	0.48	
<b>Mean</b>	0.499	0.439	0.434	0.466	0.432	0.436	0.467	0.464	0.476	0.450	0.465	0.434	0.469	0.466	0.47
<b>Std. Dev</b>	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.02
<b>% RSD</b>	8.18	7.69	3.45	5.69	4.29	6.76	6.13	3.88	9.13	3.74	11.94	2.86	5.87	6.23	3.69
<b>Cu (%) by 4Acid digestion Instrumental finish</b>															
CM-51	0.249	0.255	0.258	0.261	0.254	0.258	0.259	0.266	0.258	0.264	0.258	0.26	0.259	0.25	0.247
	0.255	0.249	0.255	0.265	0.260	0.258	0.260	0.260	0.257	0.265	0.258	0.26	0.261	0.26	0.249
	0.260	0.248	0.258	0.260	0.256	0.258	0.262	0.257	0.257	0.262	0.258	0.26	0.267	0.26	0.246
	0.254	0.250	0.253	0.263	0.261	0.258	0.256	0.268	0.260	0.261	0.257	0.26	0.267	0.26	0.246
	0.250	0.253	0.253	0.264	0.257	0.258	0.260	0.254	0.257	0.267	0.259	0.26	0.263	0.25	0.248
	0.254	0.252	0.250	0.262	0.260	0.258	0.259	0.269	0.259	0.263	0.260	0.26	0.259	0.26	0.246
	0.249	0.251	0.262	0.257	0.258	0.259	0.264	0.273	0.258	0.264	0.256	0.26	0.263	0.26	0.248
	0.250	0.248	0.249	0.260	0.259	0.258	0.264	0.258	0.259	0.268	0.256	0.26	0.260	0.26	0.248
	0.253	0.244	0.255	0.260	0.256	0.255	0.257	0.262	0.257	0.265	0.255	0.26	0.262	0.26	0.248
	0.254	0.249	0.249	0.259	0.260	0.256	0.262	0.269	0.259	0.266	0.255	0.26	0.270	0.26	0.249
<b>Mean</b>	0.253	0.250	0.254	0.261	0.258	0.258	0.260	0.264	0.258	0.265	0.257	0.26	0.263	0.26	0.248
<b>Std. Dev</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.001	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>% RSD</b>	1.35	1.23	1.69	0.93	0.88	0.46	1.04	2.38	0.426	0.82	0.66	0.00	1.43	1.63	0.48
<b>Cu (%) by Aqua Regia digestion Instrumental finish</b>															
CM-51	0.255	0.253	0.269	0.257	0.267	0.253	0.263	0.258	0.258	0.261	0.252	0.26	0.252	0.26	0.258
	0.249	0.252	0.269	0.253	0.255	0.255	0.261	0.256	0.258	0.263	0.256	0.26	0.250	0.26	0.257
	0.247	0.259	0.266	0.254	0.263	0.253	0.259	0.258	0.258	0.265	0.254	0.26	0.249	0.26	0.257
	0.249	0.258	0.275	0.255	0.263	0.256	0.268	0.260	0.257	0.259	0.252	0.26	0.250	0.25	0.256
	0.255	0.252	0.272	0.256	0.260	0.261	0.268	0.258	0.259	0.261	0.254	0.26	0.257	0.26	0.255
	0.248	0.254	0.268	0.254	0.259	0.259	0.264	0.254	0.258	0.268	0.253	0.26	0.253	0.26	0.258
	0.259	0.250	0.266	0.253	0.247	0.260	0.269	0.257	0.258	0.265	0.252	0.26	0.251	0.26	0.259
	0.257	0.250	0.262	0.255	0.257	0.260	0.260	0.250	0.249	0.268	0.255	0.26	0.257	0.26	0.257
	0.256	0.251	0.264	0.254	0.260	0.255	0.261	0.260	0.250	0.269	0.255	0.26	0.251	0.26	0.258
	0.250	0.257	0.271	0.253	0.263	0.261	0.258	0.259	0.252	0.266	0.256	0.26	0.250	0.26	0.262
<b>Mean</b>	0.253	0.254	0.268	0.254	0.259	0.257	0.263	0.257	0.256	0.265	0.254	0.26	0.252	0.26	0.258
<b>Std. Dev</b>	0.004	0.003	0.004	0.001	0.006	0.003	0.004	0.003	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.000	0.003	0.003	0.002
<b>% RSD</b>	1.714	1.304	1.447	0.531	2.136	1.257	1.525	1.189	1.487	1.288	0.628	0.000	1.138	1.221	0.733

# CDN Resource Laboratories Ltd.

Notes: Cu results assayed by 4 Acid digestion from Lab 15 were removed for failing the t test.  
Cu results assayed by Aqua Regia digestion from Lab 3 were removed for failing the t test.

## APPENDIX III: QAQC

Table below illustrates percentages of over size (+275 mesh) material in CDN-CM-51

Standard	Study Date	Total weight Screened (g)	Total weight Over size (g)	Percentage
CM-51	September 15-2023	300	3.5	1.2%
	September 15-2023	300	3.5	1.2%
	September 15-2023	300	4.0	1.3%

Table below shows homogeneity test results of CDN-CM-51

CDN-CM-51	Au Original	Au Repeat	Between Sample Variance Wt	Sample Avg. Xt	Within-Sample Std.
	0.406	0.449	0.043	0.428	0.002
	0.438	0.441	0.003	0.440	0.000
	0.432	0.439	0.007	0.436	0.000
	0.425	0.439	0.014	0.432	0.000
	0.412	0.450	0.038	0.431	0.001
	0.412	0.411	0.001	0.412	0.000
	0.460	0.451	0.009	0.456	0.000
	0.461	0.456	0.005	0.459	0.000
	0.482	0.453	0.029	0.468	0.001
	0.407	0.419	0.012	0.413	0.000
	0.456	0.429	0.027	0.443	0.001
	0.425	0.429	0.004	0.427	0.000
	0.442	0.436	0.006	0.439	0.000
	0.473	0.435	0.038	0.454	0.001
0.462	0.443	0.019	0.453	0.000	
Statistics			Gavg	SX	SS
Mean	0.440	0.439	0.439	0.016	0.012
SD	0.0250	0.0128	C	C SQRT	
RSD	5.693	2.907	0.0006	0.02	
Based on Statistical procedures outlined in Annex B, ISO 13528:2015 guidelines, if "SS is < square root of C" Standard is considered homogeneous.					
<b>CDN-CM-51 is statistically homogenous</b>					

# CDN Resource Laboratories Ltd.

## APPENDIX IV: General Notes

### Intended Use

This Certified Reference Material, CRM, fit for use as a control sample in routine assay laboratory quality control when inserted within runs of test samples and measured in parallel to test samples. This material can also be used for method development, use as independent calibration verification check standard or for validation of accuracy in a method validation exercise.

This Certified Reference Material can also be used to assess inter-laboratory or instrument bias and establish within-laboratory precision and within-laboratory reproducibility. The certified concentrations and expanded uncertainty for this material are property values based on an inter-laboratory measurement campaign and reflect consensus results from the laboratories that took part in the exercise.

### Handling

Do not use the product if the seal is broken or there are any signs of contamination.

The material is packaged in either Tin Tie envelopes, foil envelopes or jars that must be shaken before use.

### Storage information

The material should be stored in a dry place, in such a way that it does not compromise the integrity of the CRM. The material should be stored in conditions which will ensure it does not absorb moisture.

Certificate is not valid if re-packaged by a third party.

### Metrological Traceability

The values quoted herein are based on the consensus values derived from statistical analysis of the data from an inter-laboratory measurement program. Traceability to SI units is via the standards used by the individual laboratories all of which are accredited to the ISO17025 general requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories and who have maintained measurement traceability during the analytical process.

### Period of Validity

The certified values are valid for this product, while still sealed in its original packaging, until notification to the contrary.

The stability of the material will be subject to continuous testing for every five the duration of the inventory. Should product stability become an issue, all customers will be notified and notification to that effect will be placed on the <http://www.cdnlabs.com/> website.

### Minimum Sample Size

Most of the laboratory's reporting used a 0.5g sample size for the ICP and a 30g sample size for the fire assay.

Our certified gold values are based on 30 g Fire Assay determinations. For optimal results, we strongly recommend you assay our standards with similar methods using "at least" 30 g of material. Using a smaller sample weight may result in erratic values. These are the recommended minimum sample sizes for the use of this material.

## 1- STATISTICAL PROCEDURES:

Round robin samples were sent to participating laboratories.

The mean and standard deviation for all data were calculated. Outliers were defined as samples beyond the mean  $\pm$  2 Standard Deviations from all data. These outliers were removed from the data and a new mean and standard deviation were determined. This method makes use of actual "between-laboratory" standard deviation in the calculations. This produces upper and lower limits that reflect actual individual analyses rather than a grouped set of analyses.

Statistical analysis was carried out by Dr. Barry Smee, an independent statistician. A statistical report is provided along with a certificate of analysis.

# CDN Resource Laboratories Ltd.

## 2- QA/QC PROCEDURES.

As of February 2021, all standards prepared by CDN Resource Laboratories will undergo QC Screening and Homogeneity testing. All material will be tested for nuggety gold and silver.

- CDN Resource Laboratories QAQC procedures include:
- **Screen QC** - After completion of homogenization, 300g of material will be collected from the mixer and will be re-screened through testing sieve. Over size should not exceed 3% of the total screened material. If over size exceeds 3% of the total screened material, material needs to be re-screened and re-homogenized.
- **Homogeneity QC** – CDN recommends conducting homogeneity study on all CRMs, based on ISO 13528 Annex B (Homogeneity and Stability of proficiency test items).

For homogeneity test, 15 randomly selected samples from each prepared grade will be sent to commercial assaying Laboratory. Each sample will be assayed twice and reported separately.

Assay results will go through a statistical work-up by checking the mean, standard deviation, and %RSD. Based on performed statistical works outlined by ISO 13528.